

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,426 | 03/01/2004 | Dirk Dobrindt | N81725/LPK | 9796 |
| 1333 7 | 590 10/17/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| PATENT LEGAL STAFF EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET | | JOERGER, KAITLIN S | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201 | | | 3653 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| · Office Action Commence | 10/790,426 | DOBRINDT, DIRK | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| • | Kaitlin S. Joerger | 3653 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 July 2006. | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| • • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | , | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Do | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/790,426

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the guide element" in lines 10 through 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claims 1-14, the phrases "sheet-like" and "gripper-like" render the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(i), which states:

Wherein the claims sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indention (See MEPE 608.01(i)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/790,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glanzmann.

Glanzmann teaches an apparatus for transporting an sheet element, the apparatus comprising at least one rotating transport, 6, for transporting the sheet element from a pickup site to a delivery site and delivering the sheet element there, the rotating transport having at least one gripper element, 12 and 13, into which the leading edge of the sheet is introduced, and including at least one fragmentally existing bending core, 10 and 11, used for curving the sheet element during transport over a rotational or curvature radius, wherein a distance, measure across the curvature path of the sheet element, is variable adjustable between a guide element, 14, and the delivery site to accommodate the length and/or width of the sheet element, see column 4, lines 19+, wherein the guide element, 14, is between the pickup site and the delivery site and maintains the radius of curvature.

He further teaches that the transport includes a body with an essentially circular periphery, and that the apparatus include a majority of gripper pickups arranged in an even distribution over a 360 degrees angle, see figure 1. Finally, Glanzmann teaches that there are several, 10 and 11, coaxial transports spaced from one another and are arranged laterally reversed with regard to a mirror surface perpendicular to the rotation axis, see figure 1 and 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3653

Claims 4 and 6-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In light of the new reference applied, the examiner has withdrawn the previous indication of allowable subject matter and has rejected amended claim 1, and original claims 3, 5, 13, and 14.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S. Joerger whose telephone number is 571-272-6938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,426

Art Unit: 3653

Page 5

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ksj

12 October 2006

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY GENTER 3600